

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

TCC TECHNICAL APPLICATION
2014
PIERCE COUNTY REGIONAL COUNCIL
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
APPLICATION FORM TO REQUEST INCLUSION OF A PROJECT IN THE FFY 2015-2017 TIP

Supplementary information can be found in the Call for Projects. Incomplete or missing answers will be scored zero. Please respond to all unrelated questions with N/A.

APPLICANT INFORMATION

1. Please select an application type:

- Other
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 55-63)
Potential score of 100
- Non-Motorized
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 49-54)
Potential score of 100
- Preservation – Funding requests are limited to \$750,000 per agency
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 38-48)
Potential score of 100
- Rural
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 73-81)
Potential score of 100
- Transit
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 64-72)
Potential score of 100
- Roadway application type not listed above
(Please answer Questions 1-23 and 24-37)
Potential score of 100

1a. Agency Contact Person

Name: Darius Thompson Address: City of Tacoma
Title: Project Manager Telephone: 253-592-3166
Email: Darius.thompson@cityoftacoma.org

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade

Agency: City of Tacoma

2. **Improvement Type:** Please select ONE primary Improvement Type. Please indicate one Primary Improvement (PI) and any number of Secondary Improvements (SI).

ROADWAY			
	New Facility – Roadway		Bridge Replacement
	Relocation – Roadway		Multiple Intersections – Roadway
	Environmental Improvement – Roadway		Single Intersection – Roadway
	Major Widening – General Purpose		Safety – Roadway
	Major Widening – HOV		Grade Separation
	Minor Widening – No new capacity		Major Interchange – GP
	Minor Widening – New capacity		Major Interchange – HOV
	Preservation/Maintenance/Reconstruction		Minor Interchange – GP
	Resurfacing		Minor Interchange – HOV
	New Bridge or Bridge Widening		Other – Roadway
	Bridge Rehabilitation		
NONMOTORIZED			
	Sidewalk		Bike Lanes
	Regional Trail (Separate Facility)		Other – Nonmotorized
X	Non-Regional Trail (Separate Facility)		
OTHER			
	Transportation System Management		Transportation Demand Management
	Intelligent Transportation System		Other – Special
	Study or Planning activity		
TRANSIT			
	New/Relocated Transit Alignment		New ferry route
	Transit Center or Station – new or expansion		Service Expansion – Ferry
	Flyer Stop		New/Relocated/Expanded terminal
	Transit Center or Station – Maintenance		Terminal Preservation
	Park and Ride (new facility or expansion)		New/Replacement Vessels – Passenger Only
	Vehicle Expansion		New/Replacement Vessels – Car/Pass
	Vehicle Replacement		Vessel Preservation/Rehabilitation
	Operations – Transit		Operations – Ferry
	Service Expansion – Transit		Other – Ferry
	Other – Transit		

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION

(Roadway projects without a federal route number or a federal functional class may be ineligible for federal funds.)

3. **Project Location: Tacoma** _____
From: 821 Dock Street _____ To: 1025 Dock Street _____
Or, other appropriate locating information: Site 10 public esplanade _____
Project Length: 396 _____ (feet)
4. **Federal Route Number** N/A _____
5. **Federal Functional Class:** N/A _____ see link
www.wsdot.wa.gov/Mapsdata/tools/functionalclass
- 5a. **Posted Speed Limit:** N/A _____
- 5b. **Average Daily Traffic Volume:** N/A _____

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

6. **Funding Request: What is the proposed funding source?** STP _____ CMAQ X _____

7. **Is this project included in a locally adopted plan or program?**

(This is a threshold requirement to compete in this funding process. Projects not shown in the applicants adopted local TIP or Transportation Element of its Comprehensive Plan are not eligible. Please provide a copy of the necessary documentation).

Yes X _____ No _____

If yes, cite document, page(s) and adoption date: City of Tacoma Six Year Comprehensive Transportation Program Amended 2013/2014-2015-2020, Special Projects Section, Page 33 of 41, adopted January 7, 2014. This project is part of the greater Thea Foss Waterway redevelopment as described in the Thea Foss Waterway Design & Development Plan, May 9, 2000 which is an element of Tacoma's Comprehensive Plan.

8. **Brief Project Description - Include a 8 1/2 x 11 detailed vicinity map and a cross-section detail of the project, if applicable (100 words maximum):**

The removal of a deteriorated 14 foot wide wooden walkway and railings that do not comply with ADA or safety standards. Removal of marina gates that obstruct the walkway and elimination of parking adjacent to the walkway. Replacement of the wooden walkway with a new 20' wide concrete esplanade and railings, lighting, benches, trash receptacles and hanging baskets. Completion of this project will support a \$20 million private development on an adjacent parcel. If funding is received, this project will begin construction in 2015.

(See attached Exhibit A for vicinity map and cross-section)

Confirm word count (83 words).

9. **Purpose and Need – Please provide a clear and concise narrative describing the project's existing and proposed conditions. If available, provide pictures, technical data and/or other supporting studies or analysis (400 words maximum):**

This project is part of the greater Thea Foss Waterway redevelopment as described in the Thea Foss Waterway Design & Development Plan, May 9, 2000 and an element of Tacoma's Comprehensive Plan and Master

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade

Agency: City of Tacoma

Shoreline Plan. The Site 10 public esplanade is a deteriorated wood section of the planned 1.5 mile walkway along the Thea Foss Waterway in downtown Tacoma in a major brownfield revitalization area. The wooden walkway currently is approximately 14 feet in width and has an uneven and slippery surface with a step up at one end. Additionally, the adjacent marina gate intersects the walkway forcing pedestrians and bicyclists onto the parking area and into the pathway of oncoming vehicles. Construction of the wider 20' concrete public esplanade will eliminate parking next to the esplanade and relocate the marina gates so no obstruction to pedestrian or bicycle access exists. The esplanade will be constructed with a barrier between the adjacent vehicle driveway and the walkway providing pedestrian and bicycle separation for added safety. Additionally, it will correct the elevation to make the walkway ADA compliant and eliminate the "step up" and tripping obstacle and have a railing system consistent with safety standards. The public esplanade will also provide public access to waters of the State in compliance with the Washington State Shoreline Management Act. The new esplanade will include enhanced lighting, seating, hanging baskets and trash receptacles. The improved concrete surface will improve mobility and reduce the potential for slip and fall occurrences. The improved railings will prevent small children from falling into the water or onto the shoreline from the esplanade.

(See attached Exhibit B for Photos)

Confirm Word count (265 words)

PROJECT TRACKING AND FUNDING

NOTE: Sponsors may request funding for any single phase of the project, but requests for multiple phases is limited to preliminary engineering plus the subsequent phase necessary. For instance, requests for multiple phases are limited to the combination of (1) preliminary engineering and right-of-way or (2) preliminary engineering and construction (no right-of-way and construction requests will be considered).

Required Match: A minimum of 13.5% of local matching funds is required for PSRC's FHWA funding. The following formula may be used to calculate the projects match:

To calculate the amount of matching funds, divide the federal funds requested by .865 and subtract the federal funds from this amount.

Example: Federal funds requested = \$100,000

$$\$100,000 / .865 = \$115,607$$

$$\$115,607 - \$100,000 = \$15,607 \text{ local match required}$$

Please note: The combination of the requested PSRC funds plus all other funding must be adequate to fully fund that phase. Requests that do not result in a phase being fully funded cannot be approved into the regional TIP and therefore will be considered ineligible for PSRC funding.

10. Grant Funds Requested

Phase (e.g., Planning Study/Project,, Preliminary Engineering, Right of Way, Construction, Other)	Estimated Obligation Date (year only)	Federal Funds Requested
Construction	2015	\$1,800,000
		\$1,800,000

IMPORTANT: Please select 2015, 2016 or 2017 for estimated obligation year. Per PSRC's adopted project tracking policies, the deadline for obligating funds is June 1 of the selected obligation year. For more information, see:

<http://www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking>

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade

Agency: City of Tacoma

11. Total Project Cost (\$2,719,458)

Guidance: To be programmed into the state Transportation Improvement Program, funds for the phase being requested must be secure or reasonably expected to be secure. Unsecured funds will not be considered. Please use the website following link to assist in completing the following table:

www.psrc.org/assets/7911/Definitions_SecuredandUnsecuredFunding.pdf

A Fund Source	B Secured, reasonably Expected, or Unsecured?	C Obligation Date (Yr Only)	D \$ Amount by Funding Source	Project Phase			
				E Planning	F Prelim. Eng/ Design	G Right-of- Way	H Construction / Implementation
Local	Reasonably Expected	2014	\$125,000				\$125,000
Foss Waterway Development Authority Co-op Jurisdiction	Secured (\$65,000) Reasonably Expected (\$110,725)	PE 2014 CN 2015	\$175,725		\$21,500		\$154,225
Foss Harbor LLC Private Funds	Secured (\$118,733) Reasonably Expected (\$200,000)	PE 2014 CN 2015	\$318,733		\$118,733		\$200,000
Community Economic Revitalization Board Grant	Secured	2013	\$250,000				\$250,000
Countywide	Secured	2012	\$50,000			\$50,000	
Other							
Other							
Grant Request	Unsecured	2015	\$1,800,000				\$1,800,000
TOTAL			\$2,719,458		\$140,233	\$50,000	\$2,529,225

If unable to completely fill out Tables #10-12, please explain why: _____

11a. Provide additional information on any funds identified in the table above as reasonably expected to be secure. For example, identify the estimated approval date of funds for the project into the 6-year program; if pursuing a limited improvement district, bonding, or other local funding mechanism, when will that occur and what additional steps are required, etc. For more information on the definition of secured, reasonably expected, and unsecured funds, refer to:

<http://www.psrc.org/assets/11214/FinancialConstraintGuidance.pdf>

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

(See attached Exhibit C for supporting documents)

- Memorandum from the Public Works Director regarding allocation of the Gas Tax to 2014 Countywide Application Projects.
- City of Tacoma Six Year Comprehensive Transportation Program Amended 2013/2014-2015-2020, Special Projects Section, Page 33 of 41. City of Tacoma Six Year Transportation Program will be updated to reflect the City's commitment to provide \$125,000 in funding and the additional funding amounts and sources listed below..
- Community Economic Revitalization Board Grant Received \$250,000.
- PSRC Countywide Grant Received \$50,000.
- Private Investor: \$200,000 reasonably expected given the development parcel upland of the Esplanade site, and owed by the Development Authority, is being offered for sale in June of 2014. Either the private investor/buyer of the development parcel or the proceeds from the sale of the development parcel will provide these funds.
- Foss Waterway Development Authority: \$65,000 in the Long Term Obligation Bond funding secured and \$110,725 reasonably expected. Funding will be from the LTGO Bond funds or sale of the property.

THE FOLLOWING RESPONSES WILL BE SCORED FOR PROJECT PRIORITIZATION.

PROJECT READINESS

12. **Cooperating Jurisdictions and Private Sector Support, if any: Provide names of all jurisdictions and private parties, contributing funds would be applied, and the percentage of total project funds provided.** The percentage shall be expressed based on the costs of the requested phases under the current application. Contributing funds for prior phases shall not be considered. **Applicants that have been previously awarded grant funding for their project CANNOT use the grantor as a cooperating jurisdiction.**

Letters of Commitment from all cooperating jurisdictions and private sector support must be attached to receive points: Yes _____ No _____

Cooperating Jurisdiction	Phase	Dollar Amount of Participation	Percentage of Current Application
Foss Waterway Development Authority	PE	\$21,500	15.3%
Foss Waterway Development Authority	CN	\$154,225	5.7%
Total:			21%

- 5 % or more 3 points
 3 to 4 % 2 points
 1 or 2 % 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

Private Sector Support	Phase	Dollar Amount of Participation	Percentage of Current Application
Foss Harbor LLC	CN	\$200,000	7.9%
Total:			7.9%

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

_____ 1 or 2 % of total project costs 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

13. Has the jurisdiction secured/obligated state or federal funding for any of the projects below phases or has it completed a phase of the project using local funds only? (Please check all that apply)

Planning 1 point P/E Design 2 point
ROW 2 point Construction 2 point
(ROW is required to receive points)

If any are checked, name project title and Funding Agency ID# _____

Funding Source: Pierce County Regional Council.
Funding Amount(s): \$50,000 _____

Funding Source: Community Economic Revitalization Board
Funding Amount(s): \$250,000 _____

Name and completion date of Planning Study:
Thea Foss Waterway Design and Development Plan. Adopted May 2000.
Shoreline Master Program. Adopted October 2013.
The Foss Waterway Development Authority Master Redevelopment Strategy. Adopted December 2012.
Center of Local Importance. Adopted December 2013.
Six-Year Comprehensive Transportation Improvement Program. Adopted December 2013.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 7)

14. Federal Functional Classification: Principal Minor Collector

Principal _____ 3 points
Minor _____ 2 points
Collector _____ 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

15. Will this project include additional ADA improvements that are not required by the 2013 City/County Design Standards (LAG Manual)? Example: Construction of a sidewalk that is wider than the minimum requirements.

Yes 2 points
No _____ 0 points

If yes, what are they? The project will construct a twenty- foot wide ADA compliant sidewalk that is significantly wider than the 4 foot minimum requirement.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

16. Local Agency Over Match Incentive:

More than 30% of total project costs 3 point
21% to 30% of total project costs _____ 2 point
15% to 20% of total project costs _____ 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

(Max. score of 3)

17. Is the project or phase ready for implementation? (One point per box. Please check all that apply)

Obligate funds in 2015 (receives 2 points)	X	Environmental process complete* (must provide a signed ECS by FHWA or WSDOT H&LP)	
Obligates funds in 2016 (receives 1 point)		Funding requested here completes project or fully implements the project	X
ROW plans approved by WSDOT		Purchase of ROW certified or not required	

*Note: NEPA will NOT be finalized until the "next" project phase is funded in the STIP.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 6)

Please provide information on your project readiness to proceed:

Design Status (% complete): 90%

Project Phase	Status	Actual or Expected Completion Date
Preliminary Engineering	Not complete	5/30/2014
Environmental Approval	Not complete	9/30/2014
Right-of-Way Certification	Not complete	12/31/2014

If construction funds are being requested, please describe any ROW needs for the project, including the number of parcels needed, whether property owners are expected to cooperate (and your agency's experience with condemnation and/or whether it is willing to go to condemnation if needed).

Temporary construction easements and agreements will need to be acquired with the FWDA and Foss Harbor LLC.

17a. Will other secured or reasonably secured funding benefits be missed if the project remains unfunded in 2015, 2016 or 2017?

Yes X No _____ (Include information about other funding benefits.)

Please explain:

The private funding, Foss Development Authority funding and City funding would be lost. The Community Economic Revitalization Board grant would expires in March 2017. The Army Corps of Engineers, State HPA and Shoreline permit would expire in August 2016. Reapplication for these permits would increase project cost in the future. The \$20 million private investment on the adjacent parcel could be lost or significantly delayed until the public project is completed and the next market window makes the private development commercially viable. If the private development moves forward without the public esplanade in place future construction of the esplanade would have to occur from the waterside rather than the land side of the public parcel. This could result in the temporary removal and replacement of a privately owned marina, business disruption, potential job loss and an significant increase in cost of the project.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 1)

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade

Agency: City of Tacoma

18. Pierce County Regional Growth Centers Hierarchy and Connecting Corridors criteria: Is the project located in (1-3) or serving (4-6) any of the following? (Please check all that apply).

1. Metropolitan Center (scores 1 point)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4. Corridor Supporting one (1) or more Regional Manufacturing/Industrial or Candidate Center (scores 2 point)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Regional or Candidate Growth Center Manufacturing/Industrial or Candidate Center (scores 2 points)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. Corridor Supporting one (1) or more Centers (scores 1 point)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3. Countywide Center or Locally Identified Center (see approved PCRC Map) (scores 1 point)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. Corridor Supporting two (2) or more Centers (scores 1 point)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Local city and town centers provide local job, service, cultural, and housing areas for their communities. They serve as focal points where people come together for a variety of activities, including shopping and recreation. These central places must be identified in local comprehensive plans, or should be advancing towards that goal. These areas are to become priority areas for future investments and growth at the local level.

(See attached Pierce County Regional Growth Centers Hierarchy and Connecting Corridors Exhibit D)

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 8)

19. Is the project on a transit route? (Transit routes that “intersect” are okay only when the project improves the intersection)

Guidance: Sound Transit route information is available at <http://www.soundtransit.org/Schedules>
Pierce Transit route information is available at <http://www.piercetransit.org/pierce-transit-routes/>

Yes, full project length _____ 2 points
Yes, partial or intersection _____ 1 point
No X 0 points
If yes, provide route number(s) _____

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

20. What is the peak number of transit vehicles per hour within the project limits? (Transit routes that “intersect” are okay only when the project improves the intersection)

Guidance: Sound Transit route information is available at <http://www.soundtransit.org/Schedules>
Pierce Transit route information is available at <http://www.piercetransit.org/pierce-transit-routes/>

Peak number of transit vehicles per hour _____ (see pages 26 and 27 of the call for projects)

4 or more transit vehicles _____ 2 points
1 to 3 transit vehicles _____ 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

21. Does this project specifically improve non-motorized access for trips to any of the following (check all that apply). Provide a map showing all checked items.

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade

Agency: City of Tacoma

Transit locations (0-2 trips/day)	x	Schools	Household/Retail	x	Commercial Areas	x
Transit locations (0-5 trips/day)	x	Grocery Store	Parks and Recreation	x	Cultural Facilities (museums, libraries, etc.)	x
Transit locations (0-5+ trips/day)	x	Medical	Employment Centers	x	*Other	x

1 point each item

*Please describe:

Water based transportation

(See attached Exhibit E for Map)

COMMITTEE SCORE _____

(Max. score of 12)

22. Does this project provide contiguous gap-closure to a previously funded transportation route?

(Gap closure projects may improve the facility to a standard equal to those sections on either end of the project. Gap closure project may provide a missing link of a facility that leads to a single connected facility. Gap closure projects are not limited to roadway sections and may include pedestrian paths, bicycle paths, trails, bridges, or any other transportation project which completes the system.)

Yes, Final Section _____ 3 points
Yes, Next Section x 2 point
No _____ 0 points

If yes, please name adjacent segments; provide their funding source, and completion date:

This project will connect to the Site 11 Esplanade. (Phase 1 and 2)
Phase 1 was completed in 2011 with Puget Sound Regional Council and City of Tacoma funds.
Phase 2 bids have been received and construction will begin in September 2014 and be completed May 2015.
Funding sources includes Puget Sound Regional Council (Enhancement funds), State Grant (Community Economic Revitalization Board) and City of Tacoma funds.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____

(Max. score of 3)

23. Describe how the project has the potential to reduce emissions?

Guidance: The application process will walk project sponsors through specific questions designed to determine the potential emissions reductions of their project. For example, projects involving fuel or vehicle conversions will be asked to provide information on the total number of vehicles affected, the current fuel and vehicle usage conditions, as well as the conditions after the project is implemented. Projects expected to result in an increase in transit usage will be asked to provide information on the current transit ridership and transit routes affected, as well as the specifics of the project – i.e., how will the individual project encourage or promote new transit riders. Projects providing new or more frequent/expanded transit service would be expected to result in a higher level of new transit riders than projects providing improvements in existing transit travel times or enhanced amenities to existing service. Projects resulting in improvements in traffic flow will be asked to provide information on the current travel conditions, amount of idling, number of trucks using the route, etc. As mentioned above, the magnitude of the project and the timing of the anticipated

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

benefits will play a role in the final score, and all projects will be evaluated against each other.

Please explain:

Provides attractive non-motorized alternative to travel along the Foss Waterfront.

High: A project will rate high if:

- It will substantially reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants, or will substantially reduce fine particulates from diesel exhaust; and
- The air quality benefits will occur by 2020.

Medium: A project will rate medium if:

- It will moderately reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants, or will moderately reduce fine particulates from diesel exhaust (for example, a project that reduces VMT by shortening a vehicle trip, rather than eliminating a vehicle trip); and
- The air quality benefits will occur by 2025.

Low: A project will rate low if:

- It results in a low amount of emissions reductions; and
- The air quality benefits will occur after 2025.

High = X 5 points
Medium = 3 points
Low = 2 points
0 = 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE
(Max. score of 5)

NON-MOTORIZED APPLICATION

**49. Are the environmental/water quality improvements greater than the minimum requirements?
Please describe.**

N/A

Projects that incorporate Green Stormwater Infrastructure (rain gardens, bioretention, porous pavements, etc.) AND retain 100% of stormwater on site. 3 points

Projects that add more than 5,000 square feet of **new** impervious surface and provide water quality/quantity treatment for **ALL** (new and existing) impervious surfaces within the project area. 2 points

Projects that add less than 5,000 square feet of **new** impervious surface and that provide water quality and quantity treatment OR provides water quality treatment for 150% of new impervious surfaces. 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE
(Max. score of 3)

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

50. Does this non-motorized project include a vertical grade separation or removes modal conflict at grade?

- Yes, vertical grade separation 5 points
- Yes, removes modal conflicts at grade X 3 points
- No 0 points

Please explain:

Yes, the project removes the step up on the esplanade that is not ADA compliant. It brings the entire Site 10 esplanade to a continuous elevation/grade that matches the existing improved esplanade on adjacent shoreline parcel. The project also removes barriers that impact the esplanade on this site.

COMMITTEE SCORE
(Max. score of 5)

51. Does this project provide facilities for pedestrians and bicycles? (Check all that apply.)

- Provision of facilities for pedestrians X 2 points
- Provision of facilities for bicycles X 2 points
- Provision of facilities for bicycles and Pedestrians X 1 points

COMMITTEE SCORE
(Max. score of 5)

52. Does the project include other non-motorized transportation system components?

Guidance: The description of the "other" selection will be scored within a range of 0 to 3 points.

- Pedestrian Amenities (benches, trash cans) x 2 points
- Bicycle Amenities (bike racks, signage) x 3 points
- Crosswalk Signalization/Flashing Beacon 4 points
- Lighting x 3 points
- Transit Connection x 3 points
- Other x 0-3 points

Describe:

The connection here is a pathway to the elevator and stairs at 11th Street which then connects to transit on A Street – a 2 block walk from Site 10.

COMMITTEE SCORE
(Max. score of 18)

53. In the last five years, have there been any pedestrian or bicycle accidents that could have been prevented with this project?

- Yes 5 points
- No X 0 points

Providing supporting data (accident data, police reports etc.) is a requirement of earning points.
Please identify the accident history:

Project Title: Site 10 Public Esplanade
Agency: City of Tacoma

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 5)

54. Does this project add a new illumination system?

- Yes, full project corridor length X 4 points
- Yes, partial project corridor length _____ 3 points
- Yes, at an intersection only _____ 2 points
- No _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 4)

TOTAL SCORE FOR ALL SECTIONS _____

JURISDICTION APPROVAL.

I, the undersigned, affirm to the best of my knowledge:

- CS (initial) The project information contained within this application is accurate.
- CS (initial) The project is programmed and matching funds are available.
- CS (initial) Agency acknowledges it must apply for listing in Regional TIP before June 1 of the selected obligation year.

BY: Chun Lan
Approving Authority

TITLE: ENGINEERING DIVISION MANAGER

DATE: 4/30/2014

