

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
 Agency City of Lakewood

TCC TECHNICAL APPLICATION
2014
PIERCE COUNTY REGIONAL COUNCIL
REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP)
APPLICATION FORM TO REQUEST INCLUSION OF A PROJECT IN THE FFY 2015-2017 TIP

Supplementary information can be found in the Call for Projects. Incomplete or missing answers will be scored zero. Please respond to all unrelated questions with N/A.

APPLICANT INFORMATION

1. Please select an application type:

 X Roadway application type not listed above
 (Please answer Questions 1-23 and 24-37)
 Potential score of 100

1a. Agency Contact Person

Name: Weston Ott Address: 6000 Main St. SW, Lakewood, WA 98499
 Title: Associate Engineer II Telephone: (253) 983-7725
 Email: wott@cityoflakewood.us

2. Improvement Type: Please select ONE primary Improvement Type. Please indicate one Primary Improvement (PI) and any number of Secondary Improvements (SI).

ROADWAY			
	New Facility – Roadway		Bridge Replacement
	Relocation – Roadway	SI	Multiple Intersections – Roadway
	Environmental Improvement – Roadway		Single Intersection – Roadway
	Major Widening – General Purpose	SI	Safety – Roadway
	Major Widening – HOV		Grade Separation
SI	Minor Widening – No new capacity		Major Interchange – GP
	Minor Widening – New capacity		Major Interchange – HOV
SI	Preservation/Maintenance/Reconstruction		Minor Interchange – GP
SI	Resurfacing		Minor Interchange – HOV
	New Bridge or Bridge Widening	SI	Other – Roadway
	Bridge Rehabilitation		
NONMOTORIZED			
PI	Sidewalk	SI	Bike Lanes
	Regional Trail (Separate Facility)	SI	Other – Non-motorized
	Non-Regional Trail (Separate Facility)		

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
Agency City of Lakewood

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION

(Roadway projects without a federal route number or a federal functional class may be ineligible for federal funds.)

3. Project Location: Steilacoom Blvd. SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW

From: Puyallup St. (Town of Steilacoom) To: Phillips Rd. SW (Lakewood)

Or, other appropriate locating information: _____

Project Length: 15,000 (feet)

4. Federal Route Number 3136

5. Federal Functional Class: Principal Arterial see link
www.wsdot.wa.gov/Mapsdata/tools/functionalclass

5a. Posted Speed Limit: 35 and 30 MPH

5b. Average Daily Traffic Volume: 26,000

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

6. Funding Request: What is the proposed funding source? STP CMAQ _____

7. Is this project included in a locally adopted plan or program?

(This is a threshold requirement to compete in this funding process. Projects not shown in the applicants adopted local TIP or Transportation Element of its Comprehensive Plan are not eligible. Please provide a copy of the necessary documentation).

Yes No _____

If yes, cite document, page(s) and adoption date: City of Lakewood's six-year Comprehensive Transportation Improvement Program 2014-2019. Pages: 11, 12, 14, and 20 Section: 2.65, 2.66, 2.71, 3.16, and 9.10. City of Lakewood's TIB is currently being updated to reflect new budget numbers. Draft attached. 6 year (2015 – 2020) TIP to be adopted in July 2014.

Town of Steilacoom Comprehensive Plan Conformed Version 2012: Section 5 – Transportation Element, Figure 5.11 Proposed Pedestrian Improvements, Pages 85 and 87

8. Brief Project Description - Include a 8 1/2 x 11 detailed vicinity map and a cross-section detail of the project, if applicable (100 words maximum):

Provide curb, gutter, sidewalks, bicycle facilities, street lights, and associated storm drainage on both sides. Traffic signal replacement and modifications as needed to accommodate roadway sections and ramps. Turn pockets added for traffic mobility and safety. Pavement overlay and markings and retaining walls in specific areas. The grant ask is for the survey, environmental and design portion only.

Confirm word count (58 words).

9. Purpose and Need – Please provide a clear and concise narrative describing the project's existing and proposed conditions. If available, provide pictures, technical data and/or other supporting studies or analysis (400 words maximum):

The City of Lakewood and Town of Steilacoom have made numerous improvements along this roadway corridor, however an overall comprehensive set of improvements do not exist from terminus to terminus. Over half the corridor does not have sidewalk, and some areas do not have a safe shoulder to walk on, forcing

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements

Agency City of Lakewood

pedestrians to either walk in the road or travel on private property. This area is the main artery to the Town of Steilacoom, in addition to the ferry system to Anderson Island, Western State Hospital, McNeil State Prison, Steilacoom's Pierce County Library, Ft. Steilacoom Park, Pierce College, Lakes High School, and Steilacoom High School. The proposed work would be a contentious safe corridor for pedestrians and bicycles, in addition some areas would have an added turn pocket. The roadway varies in pavement condition, from recent overlays to pavement that has structurally failed, some portions of roadway would be simply overlaid, other areas would need a structural overlay or reconstruction. Along the east and west portion of the project there may be areas that would require short structural walls. The grant fund request is for the portion of the project to conduct survey, environmental, and design.

Confirm Word count (196 words)

PROJECT TRACKING AND FUNDING

NOTE: Sponsors may request funding for any single phase of the project, but requests for multiple phases is limited to preliminary engineering plus the subsequent phase necessary. For instance, requests for multiple phases are limited to the combination of (1) preliminary engineering and right-of-way or (2) preliminary engineering and construction (no right-of-way and construction requests will be considered).

Required Match: A minimum of 13.5% of local matching funds is required for PSRC's FHWA funding. The following formula may be used to calculate the projects match:

To calculate the amount of matching funds, divide the federal funds requested by .865 and subtract the federal funds from this amount.

Example: Federal funds requested = \$100,000

$$\$100,000 / .865 = \$115,607$$

$$\$115,607 - \$100,000 = \$15,607 \text{ local match required}$$

Please note: The combination of the requested PSRC funds plus all other funding must be adequate to fully fund that phase. Requests that do not result in a phase being fully funded cannot be approved into the regional TIP and therefore will be considered ineligible for PSRC funding.

10. Grant Funds Requested

Phase (e.g., Planning Study/Project,, Preliminary Engineering, Right of Way, Construction, Other)	Estimated Obligation Date (year only)	Federal Funds Requested
Design	2015	\$ 635,000
		\$
		\$ 635,000

IMPORTANT: Please select 2015, 2016 or 2017 for estimated obligation year. Per PSRC's adopted project tracking policies, the deadline for obligating funds is June 1 of the selected obligation year. For more information, see:
<http://www.psrc.org/transportation/tip/tracking>

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements

Agency City of Lakewood

11. Total Project Cost (\$11,972,000)

Guidance: To be programmed into the state Transportation Improvement Program, funds for the phase being requested must be secure or reasonably expected to be secure. Unsecured funds will not be considered. Please use the website following link to assist in completing the following table:

www.psrc.org/assets/7911/Definitions_SecuredandUnsecuredFunding.pdf

A	B	C	D	Project Phase			
				E	F	G	H
Fund Source	Secured, reasonably Expected, or Unsecured?	Obligation Date (Yr Only)	\$ Amount by Funding Source	Planning	Prelim. Eng/ Design	Right-of-Way	Construction / Implementation
Local	Complete	N/A	\$40,000	\$40,000			
Local	Reasonable Expected	2015	\$136,000		\$136,000		
(Town of Steilacoom) Co-op Jurisdiction	Reasonable Expected	2015	\$136,000		\$136,000		
Local	Reasonable Expected	2018	\$720,000			\$70,000	\$650,000
(Town of Steilacoom) Co-op Jurisdiction	Reasonable Expected	2018	\$720,000			\$70,000	\$650,000
(Copper Ridge LLC : Soundbuilt Northwest) Private Funds	Expected	2015	\$35,000		\$35,000		
(source) Grant	N/A						
Other	N/A						
Grant Request	Unsecured	2015	\$635,000		\$635,000		
Grant	Unsecured	2018	\$9,550,000			\$500,000	\$9,050,000
TOTAL			\$11,972,000	\$40,000	\$942,000	\$640,000	\$10,350,000

If unable to completely fill out Tables #10-12, please explain why: N/A

11a. Provide additional information on any funds identified in the table above as reasonably expected to be secure. For example, identify the estimated approval date of funds for the project into the 6-year program; if pursuing a limited improvement district, bonding, or other local funding mechanism, when will that occur and what additional steps are required, etc. For more information on the definition of secured, reasonably expected, and unsecured funds, refer to:

<http://www.psrc.org/assets/11214/FinancialConstraintGuidance.pdf>

The City of Lakewood's 2015/2016 budget is expected to be adopted in November 2014. The two funds that are used as matching money Fund 102 is continuously funded through Realest Excise Tax \$900,000 and fund 401 is funded through the City of Lakewood's storm water utility. The City of Lakewood commits the \$136,000 dollars for this grant request. City of Lakewood's TIB is currently being updated to reflect new budget numbers. Draft attached. 6 year (2015 – 2020) TIP to be adopted in July 2014.

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
 Agency City of Lakewood

THE FOLLOWING RESPONSES WILL BE SCORED FOR PROJECT PRIORITIZATION.

PROJECT READINESS

12. Cooperating Jurisdictions and Private Sector Support, if any: Provide names of all jurisdictions and private parties, contributing funds would be applied, and the percentage of total project funds provided. The percentage shall be expressed based on the costs of the requested phases under the current application. Contributing funds for prior phases shall not be considered. Applicants that have been previously awarded grant funding for their project CANNOT use the grantor as a cooperating jurisdiction.

Letters of Commitment from all cooperating jurisdictions and private sector support must be attached to receive points: Yes X No _____

Cooperating Jurisdiction	Phase	Dollar Amount of Participation	Percentage of Current Application
Town of Steilacoom	Design	\$136,000	15%
Pierce Transit		N/A	N/A
Total:			

- X 5 % or more 3 points
 _____ 3 to 4 % 2 points
 _____ 1 or 2 % 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 3)

Private Sector Support	Phase	Dollar Amount of Participation	Percentage of Current Application
Copper Ridge LLC : Soundbuilt Northwest	Design	\$35,000	5.5%
Total:			5.5%

- X 5 % or more of total project costs 3 points
 _____ 3 to 4 % of total project costs 2 points
 _____ 1 or 2 % of total project costs 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 3)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
Agency City of Lakewood

13. **Has the jurisdiction secured/obligated state or federal funding for any of the projects below phases or has it completed a phase of the project using local funds only? (Please check all that apply)**

Planning 1 point P/E Design 2 point
ROW 2 point Construction 2 point
(ROW is required to receive points)

If any are checked, name project title and Funding Agency ID# Steilacoom Blvd. Safety Improvements – Western Stat Hospital to Lakeview Ave. Proj. No. LW-22; Steilacoom Blvd. SW – Safety Project, Farwest to 87th (2010 Completion); Town of Steilacoom TIB #P-P-133(PO1)-1

Funding Source: HSIP 2011, HSIP 2008, TIB, Steilacoom Local
Funding Amount(s): \$2,090,000, \$1,000,000, \$163,490, \$242,861

Name and completion date of Planning Study: Non-Motorized Transportation Plan, Completed 2012

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 7)

14. **Federal Functional Classification:** Principal Minor Collector

Principal 3 points
Minor 2 points
Collector 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

15. **Will this project include additional ADA improvements that are not required by the 2013 City/County Design Standards (LAG Manual)? Example: Construction of a sidewalk that is wider than the minimum requirements.**

Yes 2 points
No 0 points

If yes, what are they? Sidewalk wider than normal, six (6) foot sidewalk and audible push buttons, and a flashing pedestrian beacon at Steilacoom Blvd. and Rigney Rd.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

16. **Local Agency Over Match Incentive:**

More than 30% of total project costs 3 point
21% to 30% of total project costs 2 point
15% to 20% of total project costs 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
 Agency City of Lakewood

17. Is the project or phase ready for implementation? (One point per box. Please check all that apply)

Obligate funds in 2015 (receives 2 points) *	X	Environmental process complete* (must provide a signed ECS by FHWA or WSDOT H&LP)	
Obligates funds in 2016 (receives 1 point)		Funding requested here completes project or fully implements the project	
ROW plans approved by WSDOT		Purchase of ROW certified or not required	

*Note: NEPA will NOT be finalized until the "next" project phase is funded in the STIP.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 6)

Please provide information on your project readiness to proceed:

Design Status (% complete): Choose an item.

Project Phase	Status	Actual or Expected Completion Date
Preliminary Engineering	Not complete	12/31/2017
Environmental Approval	Not complete	1/2/2017
Right-of-Way Certification	Not complete	1/2/2020

If construction funds are being requested, please describe any ROW needs for the project, including the number of parcels needed, whether property owners are expected to cooperate (and your agency's experience with condemnation and/or whether it is willing to go to condemnation if needed).
No construction or right-of-way funds are being requested.

17a. Will other secured or reasonably secured funding benefits be missed if the project remains unfunded in 2015, 2016 or 2017?

Yes _____ No X (Include information about other funding benefits.)
 Please explain:

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 1)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
Agency City of Lakewood

18. **Pierce County Regional Growth Centers Hierarchy and Connecting Corridors criteria: Is the project located in (1-3) or serving (4-6) any of the following? (Please check all that apply).**

1. Metropolitan Center (scores 1 point)		4. Corridor Supporting one (1) or more Regional Manufacturing/Industrial or Candidate Center (scores 2 point)	
2. Regional or Candidate Growth Center Manufacturing/Industrial or Candidate Center (scores 2 points)		5. Corridor Supporting one (1) or more Centers (scores 1 point)	X
3. Countywide Center or Locally Identified Center (see approved PCRC Map) (scores 1 point)	X	6. Corridor Supporting two (2) or more Centers (scores 1 point)	X

Local city and town centers provide local job, service, cultural, and housing areas for their communities. They serve as focal points where people come together for a variety of activities, including shopping and recreation. These central places must be identified in local comprehensive plans, or should be advancing towards that goal. These areas are to become priority areas for future investments and growth at the local level.

List and describe centers and attach map.
Attached are the county wide and project specific centers maps. Steilacoom Blvd. connects the Town of Steilacoom Center to Lakewood's Towne Center. This project specifically bisects the Fort Steilacoom Center and provides a corridor through this center.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 8)

19. **Is the project on a transit route? (Transit routes that "intersect" are okay only when the project improves the intersection)**

Guidance: Sound Transit route information is available at <http://www.soundtransit.org/Schedules>
 Pierce Transit route information is available at <http://www.piercetransit.org/pierce-transit-routes/>

Yes, full project length _____ X _____ 2 points
 Yes, partial or intersection _____ 1 point
 No _____ 0 points
 If yes, provide route number(s) Pierce Transit Route 212 Steilacoom – Lakewood to Steilacoom

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 2)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements

Agency City of Lakewood

23. Describe how the project has the potential to reduce emissions?

Guidance: The application process will walk project sponsors through specific questions designed to determine the potential emissions reductions of their project. For example, projects involving fuel or vehicle conversions will be asked to provide information on the total number of vehicles affected, the current fuel and vehicle usage conditions, as well as the conditions after the project is implemented. Projects expected to result in an increase in transit usage will be asked to provide information on the current transit ridership and transit routes affected, as well as the specifics of the project – i.e., how will the individual project encourage or promote new transit riders. Projects providing new or more frequent/expanded transit service would be expected to result in a higher level of new transit riders than projects providing improvements in existing transit travel times or enhanced amenities to existing service. Projects resulting in improvements in traffic flow will be asked to provide information on the current travel conditions, amount of idling, number of trucks using the route, etc. As mentioned above, the magnitude of the project and the timing of the anticipated benefits will play a role in the final score, and all projects will be evaluated against each other.

Please explain:

This project will help reduce emissions by providing alternative modes of transportation where they currently exist sporadically. The length of this project is approximately 15,100 feet and completes a gap in previously constructed non-motorized and motorized improvements along Steilacoom Blvd. through a Center, which ultimately connects Interstate 5 to the Town of Steilacoom and Anderson Island. This corridor is important to the City's non-motorized facilities in Ft. Steilacoom Park that are heavily used by bicyclists and pedestrians. This corridor links Lakewood and Steilacoom and serves as Pierce Transit's Route 212 with combined 4 bus peak hour trips. Completion of this project will strongly encourage non-motorized modes of transportation and transit use for decades to come by providing a continuous facility through the city and town connecting major employment, education, medical and shopping centers.

High: A project will rate high if:

- It will substantially reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants, or will substantially reduce fine particulates from diesel exhaust; and
- The air quality benefits will occur by 2020.

Medium: A project will rate medium if:

- It will moderately reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and other air pollutants, or will moderately reduce fine particulates from diesel exhaust (for example, a project that reduces VMT by shortening a vehicle trip, rather than eliminating a vehicle trip); and
- The air quality benefits will occur by 2025.

Low: A project will rate low if:

- It results in a low amount of emissions reductions; and
- The air quality benefits will occur after 2025.

High = _____ 5 points
Medium = _____ 3 points
Low = X 2 points
0 = _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 5)

ROADWAY APPLICATION

24. Does the project include signal interconnection, pre-empt, or other ITS improvements?

Describe the existing conditions in the area (i.e., level of service, average daily traffic, etc.), and describe how the ITS improvement is expected to improve traffic flow (increase speed, reduce idling, remove accidents, etc.).

Please describe:

The level of service and average daily traffic would be expected to have a minor change as a result of this project. We have existing underground and aerial copper wire signal interconnect. Existing copper interconnect system is degrading and has challenges to process information effectively for coordination plans. Aerial sections of existing copper wire signal interconnect will be replaced with overhead or underground fiber signal interconnect. Fiber will allow for video feed back to city's Traffic Management Center (TMC) which will provide real time traffic flow information to make timing changes and improve traffic flow.

- Yes, Significant Improvement _____ 2 points
- Yes, Minor Improvement X 1 point
- No _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

25. Are the environmental/water quality improvements greater than the minimum requirements?

Please describe:

At this point in the project, it is unclear to what level of storm water treatment is feasible, this will be a decision made as a result of the design phase of the project. It is clear from the size of the project, 15,000 lineal feet, that more than 5,000 square feet of new impervious would be expected to result from the improvements. Further, it would be impractical to retain the storm water on-site, during design we will evaluate if it is feasible to provide water quality/quantity treatment for all new and existing impervious surfaces. It is the City/Town's standard of practice to upgrade storm water treatment with all roadway projects to treat all contributing areas of impervious surface.

Projects that incorporate Green Stormwater Infrastructure (rain gardens, bioretention, porous pavements, etc.) AND retain 100% of stormwater on site. _____ 3 points

Projects that add more than 5,000 square feet of new impervious surface and provide water quality/quantity treatment for ALL (new and existing) impervious surfaces within the project area. X 2 points

Projects that add less than 5,000 square feet of new impervious surface and that provide water quality and quantity treatment OR provides water quality treatment for 150% of new impervious surfaces. _____ 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

26. Does the project include horizontal or vertical roadway adequacy improvements? (Supporting documentation should include a map, design drawing, or narrative statement specifically addressing the horizontal/vertical improvements.) Please describe:

None known at this time, the project has been in service for many years. The design phase of the project will check for any inadequacies with respect to horizontal or vertical components of the project.

(Narrative or supporting documents are required.)

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 4)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements

Agency City of Lakewood

27. Does this project add a new illumination system?

- Yes, full project corridor length 3 points
- Yes, partial project corridor length 2 points
- Yes, at an intersection only 1 point
- No 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

28. Does the project include a new traffic control device that satisfies 2 or more traffic warrants?
Does the project install a roundabout in lieu of the traffic signal?

- Yes, a roundabout will be installed in lieu of a traffic signal 3 points
- Yes, a traffic signal will be installed 2 points
- Yes, other solution 1 point
- No, the intersection does not meet 2 warrants 0 points

Please describe the other solution:

At this time all intersections that meet warrants are signalized. It would be impractical to change the signals along this corridor to a round-a-bout.

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

29. Will this project improve the efficiency and accessibility of trucks to freight distribution facilities and/or other intermodal connections?

Please explain: This project will improve access to the ferry and prison terminal in the Town of Steilacoom.

- Yes, this project is located within a Manufacturing Industrial Center (MIC), is located on a classified Truck Route (T1-T2) and is within 2 miles of a marine terminal, intermodal or transload facility 3 points
- Yes, this project is located within a Center, is located on a classified Truck Route (T1 –T3) and is within 2 miles of a marine terminal, intermodal or transload facility 2 points
- Yes, this project is located on a corridor connecting two centers (one must be a MIC) and is within 4 miles of a marine terminal, intermodal or transload facility 1 point

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

30. Will this project correct a significant safety problem by implementing a seismic retrofit, guardrail, attenuator and barriers, or other devices?

Guidance: The explanation and supporting material will be scored within a range of 0 to 4 points.

Please explain the existing problem and provide supporting data (accidents, police reports, etc...):

N/A

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 4)

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
Agency City of Lakewood

31. Does the project include a new bicycle lane or separated NM facility for the full length of the project?

- Yes, a separated NM facility _____ 3 points
- Yes, striped lane 5 feet or greater _____ 2 points
- Yes, shared lane 3 feet or greater in width _____ X 1 point
- No _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 3)

32. Does this project improve the transportation system by widening turn lanes or removing corridor conflicts?

Guidance: Modes of transport may include vehicular, rail, non-motorized...

Please explain:

This project would remove the non-motorized conflict in some areas of the project limits. This project has both extremes, from two foot shoulder behind wedge curb to a separate shared use path. It is confusing and difficult to negotiate the varied non-motorized facilities along this corridor, in some cases pedestrians may have to enter the roadway or walk on private property to travel through this area.

- Removes conflicts for three modes of transport _____ 2 points
- Removes conflicts for one mode of transport _____ X 1 point
- Improves system without removing conflicts _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 2)

PICK AND SCORE ONE ONLY (33 or 33A)

33. Percent of heavy trucks (3 axles min) _____ %
 Basis for determining truck percentage (a description is required to score points)

- Over 10% _____ 5 points
- 5 to 9% _____ 3 points
- 2 to 4% _____ 1 point

OR

33A. Truck Route Classification (see link <http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/Freight/FGTS/CountyMaps.htm>)

- T-1: more than 10 million tons per year _____ 5 points
 - T-2: 4 million to 10 million tons per year _____ X 4 points
 - T-3: 300,000 to 4 million tons per year _____ 3 points
 - T-4: 100,000 to 300,000 tons per year _____ 2 points
 - T-5: at least 20,000 tons in 60 days _____ 1 point
 - Locally designated truck route _____ 1 point
- (Must be in Code or Comprehensive Plan and attached)

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
 (Max. score of 5)

34. Does this project add a dedicated turn pocket or lane-drop at one or more intersections?

- Yes _____ X 1 point
- No _____ 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____

Project Title Steilacoom Blvd SW: Puyallup St. to Phillips Rd. SW Improvements
Agency City of Lakewood

(Max. score of 1)

35. Does this project add a two-way, left-turn lane (TWLTL) or a center median between two or more intersections?

Yes, adds a continuous TWLTL or a continuous center median _____ 3 points
Yes, adds a non-continuous TWLTL or a non-continuous center median _____ 2 points
No X 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 3)

36. Does this project add new HOV lanes or transit queue jump lanes (need to provide a letter of support for queue jump lanes from the transit agency)?

Yes, two or more lanes _____ 2 points
Yes, one lane _____ 1 point
No X 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

37. Does the project widen or construct the road to add general purpose lanes to increase capacity?

One or more _____ 2 points
None X 0 points

COMMITTEE SCORE _____
(Max. score of 2)

TOTAL SCORE FOR ALL SECTIONS _____

JURISDICTION APPROVAL.

I, the undersigned, affirm to the best of my knowledge:

- SEA (initial) The project information contained within this application is accurate.
- SEA (initial) The project is programmed and matching funds are available.
- JW (initial) Agency acknowledges it must apply for listing in Regional TIP before June 1 of the selected obligation year.

BY: AmE White
Approving Authority

TITLE: Public Works Director

DATE: 4/30/14